**PATENT** 

First Named Inventor: Mark H. Lucovsky Attorney Docket No.: 188911.01

Application No.: 10/021,264 Group Art Unit: 2176

Filed: October 22, 2001 Examiner: Robert Stevens

Customer No.: 22971 Confirmation Number: 9015

Title: SCHEMA-BASED SERVICES FOR IDENTITY-BASED ACCESS TO CALENDAR DATA

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION** 

Sir:

Please change the Attorney Docket Number to: 188911.01.

This paper is responsive to the Final Office Action dated June 13, 2006. Applicants previously filed a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and a request for Examiner Interview on April 3, 2006 responsive to the Final Office Action dated December 2, 2005. The Examiner Interview was held on April 20, 2006.

Pursuant to the discussion with the Examiner during the Examiner Interview of April 20, 2006, Applicants filed a preliminary amendment and interview summary on June 14, 2006. However, a Final Office Action was mailed from the Examiner one day prior to the filing of the preliminary amendment (on June 13, 2006) based on the unamended claims.

Applicants hereby file a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) for entry of the preliminary amendment filed June 14, 2006 and consideration of the amendments and arguments therein. A courtesy copy of the preliminary amendment as originally filed on June 14, 2006 is enclosed.

Type of Response: Preliminary Amendment
Application Number: 10/021,264
Attorney Docket Number: 188911.01

Filing Date: 10/22/01

**PATENT** 

**CONCLUSION** 

Accordingly, in view of the above remarks it is submitted that the claims are

patentably distinct over the prior art and that all the rejections to the claims have been

overcome. Reconsideration and reexamination of the above Application is requested.

Based on the foregoing, Applicant respectfully requests that the pending claims be

allowed, and that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. If the Examiner

believes, after this Response, that the application is not in condition for allowance, the

Examiner is requested to call the Applicant's representative at the telephone number

listed below.

If this Amendment is not considered timely filed and if a request for an

extension of time is otherwise absent, Applicant hereby requests any necessary

extension of time. If there is a fee occasioned by this Response, including an extension

fee that is not covered by an enclosed check please charge any deficiency to Deposit

Account No. 50-0463.

Respectfully submitted,

Microsoft Corporation

Date: July 20, 2006

Microsoft Corporation
One Microsoft Way

Redmond WA 98052-6399

Stephen C. Siu, 48,303

Attorney for Applicants

Direct telephone (425) 704-0669

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION
(Under 37 CFR § 1.8(a)) or ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically deposited with the USPTO via EFS-Web on the date shown below:

July 21, 2006

Date

Nami Toyar

Type of Response: Preliminary Amendment
Application Number: 10/021,264

Attorney Docket Number: 188911.01 Filing Date: 10/22/01